

1

2

Formation of a large submarine crack during the final stage of retrogressive mass wasting on the continental slope offshore northern Norway

4

5

6

Laberg, J.S.*, Baeten, N.J., Lågstad, P.¹, Forwick, M., Vorren, T.O.†

7

Department of Geology, University of Tromsø, N-9037 Tromsø, Norway

8

¹: Norwegian Defence Research Establishment, Instituttvn 20, N-2007 Kjeller, Norway

9

*Corresponding author: jan.laberg@uit.no

10

† Died 16.06.2013

11

12

13 Abstract

14

High-resolution swath-bathymetry data integrated with sub-bottom profiles and single-channel

15

seismics reveal an 18 km long, up to 1000 m wide and 10-15 m deep crack located approx. 4 km

16

upslope from a slide scar on the continental slope off northern Norway. This crack is formed by

17

subsidence of the sea-floor sediments to a depth of 120 m due to downslope movement of a ~80

18

km² large sediment slab that represents the final stage of retrogressive mass wasting in this area.

19

From its morphological freshness, the crack this is inferred to have formed sometime during the

20 last 13 cal. ka BP. These findings add to our understanding of the origin of sea floor cracks on
21 passive continental margins where explanations as slip of normal faults or gas expulsion from the
22 dissociation of gas hydrates previously have been suggested for the formation of cracks in
23 similar settings.

24

25 **Keywords:** crack, submarine landslide, retrogressive, contourites, Norway

26 **Introduction**

27 Slide scars in a variety of forms are well known characteristics of the continental slope. They
28 owe their origin to the sudden release of sediments involving initial processes as liquefaction
29 followed by sliding, slumping and/or spread, partly or completely developing into flows (e.g.
30 Lee et al., 2007; Micallef et al., 2007). Cracks, also known as crown cracks have been reported
31 from near the slide headwall (e.g. Mienert et al., 2010). However, the distribution and origin of
32 cracks and their relation to the stability of the continental slope is less known, because of the
33 limited availability of high-resolution multi-beam, side-scan sonar or high-resolution seismic
34 data. Their proper identification and inference of origin are important because they may be the
35 only morphological expression of an unstable sea floor, and their locations indicate areas of
36 potential future slope failures. Factors as the area of initiation and initial volume of the sediments
37 released from submarine landslides are also of importance for the generation of tsunamis
38 (Løvholt et al., 2005). As recently seen, submarine landslides that trigger tsunamis have a much
39 wider and indirect impact on their surroundings including coastlines, their populations and
40 infrastructure (e.g. Kawamura et al., 2012).

41 The continental slope off northern Norway (Fig. 1) has been modified by a number of slides. In
42 contrast to other parts of the Norwegian continental slope, these events did not affect the
43 uppermost part of the slope between ~300 – 1000 m water depth (Baeten et al., 2013). However,
44 a depression oriented sub-parallel to the slope occurs between approx. 750 – 800 m water depth
45 (Fig. 2). The aim of this study is to infer the origin of this depression and to discuss implications
46 for the stability of the sediments on the upper part of the continental slope.

47

48 **Geological setting**

49 The study area is heavily influenced by erosional and depositional processes related to the
50 northward-flowing Norwegian Current leading to the formation of the mounded and elongated
51 Lofoten Contourite Drift (Laberg et al., 1999; 2004) (Fig. 3). Detailed studies have shown that
52 the growth of the contourite drift was climatically controlled and that sedimentation rates were
53 an order of magnitude higher during the last glacial compared to the present interglacial. The
54 upper ~10 m of the drift were deposited over the last 20 ka (Laberg and Vorren, 2004; Rørvik et
55 al., 2010). On the uppermost part of the continental slope, an upslope thickening wedge of
56 sediments, partly interbedded with the contourite drift has been found. Based on analogy with
57 similar deposits elsewhere on the Norwegian margin, the wedge-shaped intervals are inferred to
58 be glacial sediments deposited during glacial maxima (e.g. Dahlgren et al., 2005) (Fig. 3).

59

60 **Data**

61 The study area was mapped during two cruises in 2010. During the first cruise on RV *Helmer*
62 *Hanssen*, a Kongsberg Simrad EM 300 multi-beam echo sounder was used to collect a regional
63 swath bathymetry data set. The data from this survey is displayed with a resolution of 50 x 50 m.
64 Furthermore, sub-bottom profiles (Chirp) and single channel, high-resolution seismic data using
65 two GI Guns (total volume of 210 in³) and a Fjord Instruments streamer were acquired
66 synchronously during the same cruise. During the second cruise on RV *H.U. Sverdrup II* a
67 Kongsberg Simrad EM 710 multi-beam echo sounder was used to map the slope-parallel
68 depression with a resolution of 25 x 25 m. During this cruise, additional swath-bathymetry data
69 from most of the depression was acquired with a Kongsberg Simrad EM2000 multi-beam echo

70 sounder installed on the autonomous underwater vehicle *Hugin HUS* (Hagen et al., 2003). These
71 data were gridded and visualized with a resolution of 5 x 5 m. Sub-bottom profiles, sidescan
72 sonar data and optical photographs of the sea floor were also acquired during the *Hugin HUS*
73 survey (not shown here).

74

75 **Results**

76 The study area includes a ~15 km wide slide scar terminating upslope in a ~50 m high headwall
77 that is characterized by an amphitheater-shaped southern part at approx. 1000 m water depth and
78 a slightly downslope-curved northern part between 1100 and 1200 m water depth (Fig. 2). The
79 sea floor immediately upslope from the headwall is smooth, has a gradient of up to ~4° upslope
80 to a water depth of about 750 m, and includes some gullies truncated by and thus pre-dating the
81 slide. These gullies may have been formed during past glaciations as discussed by Gales et al. (in
82 press). In this area, a NE – SW oriented and slope-parallel, slightly curved sea-floor depression,
83 a crack, with well-defined lateral terminations has been identified (Fig. 2). The crack has a length
84 of about 18 km (Fig. 4), i.e. it is close to the width of the slide scar. It is up to 10 - 15 m deep,
85 and within the crack the sea-floor is slightly rotated and deepest in its upper part (Fig. 5A). Both
86 the slide scar and the crack are located within the area of the Lofoten Drift.

87 The crack can be divided into a southern, middle and northern segment, respectively (Fig. 4).

88 The southern and northern segments are mostly bounded by two parallel escarpments. Smaller,
89 secondary escarpments delineating blocks of sediments are dipping into the crack, indicating that
90 relatively stiff, consolidated sediments were involved (Fig. 4, indicated by the black arrows). The

91 middle part is characterized by an en echelon set of smaller escarpments delineating sets of
92 depressions of about the same widths and depths (Fig. 4).

93 A sub-bottom profile crossing the southern segment indicates that the uppermost, acoustically
94 laminated unit of medium – high amplitude can be followed across the floor of the crack. We
95 observe that there are no sediments covering the uppermost acoustically laminated unit within
96 the crack detectable in sub-bottom profiles (Fig. 5A). The displaced sediments can be identified
97 to approx. 120 m depth below the sea floor (using a p-wave velocity of 1600 m/s). There, the
98 displacement terminates at the level of a pronounced reflection on the seismic data (Figs. 5B, C).
99 This reflection is located at the same depth as the inferred slip plane of the nearby slide. Upslope
100 from the depression, the reflection is irregular and discontinuous (Fig. 5B, C).

101

102 **Discussion**

103 The crack was most probably formed by subsidence related to mass wasting further downslope
104 because i) it is located upslope from the headwall of a slide scar, ii) its length is nearly similar to
105 the length of the slide scar, as well as its relatively uniform width (800 - 1000 m), iii) the
106 architecture of the crack (extensional, en echelon geometry), and iv) no change in sediment
107 thickness of the upper, acoustically laminated unit across the crack. Even though iceberg keels
108 have reached water depths exceeding the water depths of the crack during past glaciations (e.g.
109 Kuijpers et al., 2007), the architecture of the crack is distinctly different from that of iceberg
110 plough marks (e.g. Bellec et al., 2008). Therefore, we regard iceberg scouring as unlikely for its
111 formation. The occurrence of fluid-flow features (e.g. pockmarks, acoustic masking) in the area
112 with cracks north of the Storegga Slide headwall has been used as an indicator by Mienert et al.

113 (2010) that crack formation in that area was related to the dissociation of gas hydrates. There “a
114 relationship to deep seated faults is unlikely although it cannot be ruled out completely” (Mienert
115 et al., 2010). Since fluid-flow features in our study area are absent, we also consider the
116 formation of the crack in relation to fluid flow as unlikely.

117 The subsidence is inferred to have occurred following the downslope movement of a $\sim 80 \text{ km}^2$
118 slab of sediments above a glide plane at about 120 m below the sea floor (Fig. 5C). This slab has
119 nearly the same width as the nearby slide scar indicating that its movement was related to the
120 evolution of the nearby slide, most likely as part of a retrogressive slide development, and that it
121 was initiated from the downslope loss of support. According to this model, the slab movement
122 causing the crack represents a temporarily final stage of mass wasting in this area. The presence
123 of the crack indicates that also the sea floor shallower than 1000 m water depth, where no slide
124 scars have been identified, may be unstable.

125 Crack formation due to movement of a large slab of sediments, as in our study, implies a
126 different origin in comparison to up to tens of meters deep and $\sim 1 \text{ km}$ wide cracks reported from
127 the upper slope of the US mid-Atlantic coast. There, a 50 m normal slip was found to have
128 caused their formation and this was explained by “the existence of a normal fault with collapse
129 and rollover of the hanging wall into the fault trace” (Driscoll et al., 2000). These differences
130 show that cracks with morphological similarities can be formed by different processes. On active
131 margins, smaller cracks have been reported to form due to earthquakes as for instance the March
132 2011 mega-earthquake offshore Japan (Kawamura et al., 2012).

133 Our results also imply a somewhat different evolution of the upper slide scar area when
134 compared with the giant submarine landslides offshore Norway. Slope-parallel, elongated ridges,

135 more than 1000 m long and several tens of meters high, characterize the uppermost part of both
136 the Trænadjupet (Laberg et al., 2002), Nyk (Lindberg et al., 2004) and the Storegga Slide scars
137 (Haflidason et al., 2004, 2005; Micallef et al., 2007). These ridges have been inferred to be
138 released successively in a retrogressive behavior (Kvalstad et al., 2005a). Upslope from some of
139 the areas of ridges, several hundred meters wide zones of cracks have been suggested to be part
140 of this development (Micallef et al., 2007). Here, we show that the slope succession may also be
141 remobilized as large slabs during an initial stage, prior to break up into elongated ridges.

142 The sharp terminations of the crack are most probably shear zones that act as the lateral
143 boundaries of the slab. These zones have, however, not been identified on the swath-bathymetry
144 and seismic data, most like because they do not cause well-defined morphological or acoustic
145 contrasts, but their inferred location is tentatively given in Fig. 2C. From the available data, the
146 gully formation does not seem to have influenced on the stability of the slope sediments
147 including the crack development.

148 The fact that the sediments of the uppermost slope in the study area were not remobilized may be
149 related to the slope morphology and/or the influence of glacial sediments in this area. The
150 gradient in the area between the headwall and the crack is slightly higher compared to further
151 upslope. This is due to the mounded geometry of the contourite drift deposits (Figs. 3, 5). Also,
152 several studies have found the glacial sediments to be mechanically stronger and thus less
153 prone to failure compared to the contouritic sediments (Kvalstad et al., 2005b; Laberg et al.,
154 2003).

155 The age of the crack is probably late Weichselian or Holocene, as no sediments are infilling the
156 crack. Results from nearby cores indicate very low sedimentation rates after c. 12,800 cal. ka BP,

157 because the north-eastward flowing Atlantic water masses of the Norwegian Current are too
158 strong for muddy sediments to be deposited at this water depth (Rørvik et al., 2010). From the
159 data at hand we find no indications of recent activity of this crack.

160

161 **Acknowledgement**

162 This work is a contribution to the Sea-floor stability offshore Lofoten, North Norway (*Loslope*)
163 project and we acknowledge the Research Council of Norway for financial support, the
164 Norwegian Defence Research Establishment for inviting us to use their research vessels *H.U.*
165 *Sverdrup II* and *Hugin HUS* autonomous underwater vehicle as well as the captains and crews of
166 *RV Helmer Hanssen* and *RV H.U. Sverdrup II* for excellent seamanship. Figs. 1, 2 and 4 were
167 displayed using the Generic Mapping Tools (GMT) software (Wessel and Smith, 1998). Thanks
168 also to our colleagues at the Norwegian Geotechnical Institute for many valuable discussions and
169 to M. Canals and an anonymous reviewer for their helpful comments and suggestions.

170

171

172 **References**

173 Baeten, N.J. Laberg, J.S., Forwick, M., Vorren, T.O., Vanneste, M., Forsberg, C.F., Kvalstad,
174 T.J., Ivanov, M. 2013. Along slope heterogeneity of small-scale mass movement
175 morphology and processes affecting contouritic deposits offshore Lofoten, northern
176 Norway. *Geomorphology* 187, 122-134.

177 Bellec, V., Wilson, M., Bøe, R., Rise, L., Thorsnes, T., Buhl-Mortensen, L., Buhl-Mortensen, P.,
178 2008. Bottom currents interpreted from iceberg plough marks revealed by multibeam data
179 at Tromsøflaket, Barents Sea. *Marine Geology* 249, 257-270.

180 Dahlgren, K.I.T., Vorren, T.O., Stoker, M.S., Nielsen, T., Nygård, A., Sejrup, H.P., 2005. Late
181 Cenozoic prograding wedges on the NW European margin: Their formation and
182 relationship to tectonics and climate: *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 22, 1089–1110.

183 Driscoll, N.W., Weissel, J.K., Goff, J.A., 2000. Potential for large-scale submarine slope failure
184 and tsunami generation along the U.S. mid-Atlantic coast. *Geology* 28, 407-410.

185 Gales, J.A., Forwick, M., Laberg, J.S., Vorren, T.O., Larter, R.D., Graham, A.G.C., Baeten, N.J.,
186 Amundsen, H.B. in press. Arctic and Antarctic submarine gullies – a comparison of high
187 latitude continental margins. *Geomorphology*.

188 Haflidason, H., Lien, R., Sejrup, H.P., Forsberg, C.F., Bryn, P., 2005. The dating and
189 morphometry of the Storegga Slide. *Marine and Petroleum Geology* 22, 123-136.

190 Haflidason, H., Sejrup, H.P., Nygård, A., Mienert, J., Bryn, P., Lien, R., Forsberg, C.F., Berg,
191 K., Masson, D.G. 2004., The Storegga Slide: architecture, geometry and slide
192 development. *Marine Geology* 213, 201-234.

193 Hagen, P. E., Størkersen, N., Vestgård, K., 2003. The HUGIN AUVs - multi-role capability for
194 challenging underwater survey operations. *EEZ International* 11-1, 1-3.

195 Jakobsson, M., Mayer, L., Coakley, B., Dowdeswell, J.A., Forbes, S., Fridman, B., Hodnesdal,
196 H., Noormets, R., Pedersen, R., Rebesco, M., Schenke, H.W., Zarayskaya, Y., Accettella,
197 D., Armstrong, A., Anderson, R.M., Bienhoff, P., Camerlenghi, A., Church, I., Edwards,
198 M., Gardner, J.V., Hall, J.K., Hell, B., Hestvik, O., Kristoffersen, Y., Marcussen, C.,
199 Mohammad, M., Mosher, D., Nghiem, S.V., Pedrosa, M.T., Travaglini, P.G., Weatherall,
200 P., 2012. The International Bathymetric Chart of the Arctic Ocean (IBCAO) Version 3.0.
201 *Geophysical Research Letters* 39, L12609, doi: 10.1029/2012GL052219.

202 Kawamura, K., Sasaki, T., Kanamatsu, T., Sakaguchi, A., Ogawa, Y. 2012. Large submarine
203 landslides in the Japan Trench: a new scenario for additional tsunami generation.
204 *Geophysical Research Letters* 39, L05308, doi:10.1029/2011GL050661.

205 Kuijpers, A., Dalhoff, F., Brandt, M.P., Hümbes, P., Schott, T., Zotova, A., 2007. Giant iceberg
206 plow marks at more than 1 km water depth offshore West Greenland. *Marine Geology* 246,
207 60-64.

208 Kvalstad, T.J., Andresen, L., Forsberg, C.F., Berg, K., Bryn, P., Wangen, M., 2005a. The
209 Storegga Slide: evaluation of triggering sources and slide mechanics. *Marine and*
210 *Petroleum Geology* 22, 245-256.

211 Kvalstad, T.J., Nadim, F., Kaynia, A.M., Mokkalbost, K.H., Bryn, P., 2005b. Soil conditions and
212 slope stability in the Ormen Lange area. *Marine and Petroleum Geology* 22, 299-310.

213 Laberg, J.S., Vorren, T.O., 2004. Weichselian and Holocene growth of the northern high-latitude
214 Lofoten Contourite Drift on the continental slope of Norway. *Sedimentary Geology*, 164,
215 1-17.

216 Laberg, J.S., Vorren, T.O. and Knutsen, S.-M., 1999: The Lofoten contourite drift off Norway.
217 *Marine Geology* 159, 1-6.

218 Laberg, J.S., Vorren, T.O., Mienert, J., Evans, D., Lindberg, B., Ottesen, D., Kenyon, N.H.,
219 Henriksen, S., 2002. Late Quaternary palaeoenvironment and chronology in the Trænadjupet
220 Slide area offshore Norway. *Marine Geology* 188, 35-60.

221 Laberg, J.S., Vorren, T.O., Mienert, J., Haflidason, H., Bryn, P., Lien, R., 2003. Preconditions
222 leading to the Holocene Trænadjupet Slide offshore Norway. In: Locat, J. and Mienert, J.
223 (Eds.): *Submarine mass movements and their consequences*, 247-254. Kluwer Academic
224 Publishers, Netherlands.

225 Lee, H.J., Locat, J. et al., 2007. Submarine mass movements on continental margins. In:
226 Nittrouer CA, Austin JA, Field ME, Kravitz JH, Syvitski JPM, Wiberg PL (eds):
227 *Continental margin sedimentation: From sediment transport to sequence stratigraphy*. *Spec*
228 *Publ Int Ass Sedim* 37: 213-274.

229 Lindberg, B., Laberg, J.S., Vorren, T.O., 2004. The Nyk Slide – morphology, progression, and
230 age of a partly buried submarine slide offshore northern Norway. *Marine Geology* 213,
231 277-289.

26-jul-13 - 12

232 Løvholt, F., Harbitz, C.B., Haugen, K.B., 2005. A parametric study of tsunamies generated by
233 submarine slides in the Ormen Lange/Storegga area off western Norway. *Marine and*
234 *Petroleum Geology* 22, 219-231.

235 Micallef, A., Masson, D.G., Berndt, C., Stow, D.A.V., 2007. Morphology and mechanics of
236 submarine spreading: a case study from the Storegga Slide. *Journal of Geophysical*
237 *Research*, vol. 112, F03023, doi:10.1029/2006JF000739.

238 Mienert, J., Vanneste, M., Haflidason, H., Bünz, S., 2010. Norwegian margin outer shelf
239 cracking: a consequence of climate-induced gas hydrate dissociation? *International Journal*
240 *of Earth Science* 99 (Suppl 1), S207-S225.

241 Rørvik, K.-L., Laberg, J.S., Hald, M., Ravna, E.K., Vorren, T.O., 2010. Behaviour of the
242 northwestern part of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet during Last Glacial Maximum – a
243 response to external forcing. *Quaternary Science Review* 29, 2224-2237.

244 Wessel, P., and Smith, W.H.F., 1998, Improved version of the Generic Mapping Tools released:
245 *Eos (Transactions, American Geophysical Union)*, v. 79, p. 579.

246

247

248 **Figures**

249 **Figure 1:** Bathymetric map of the continental margin offshore northern Norway. The study area
250 is located by the red frame. Contour interval is 100 m on the continental shelf and uppermost
251 slope. The bathymetry is from Jakobsson et al. (2012).

252

253 **Figure 2:** (A) Color-coded bathymetry; (B) bathymetry displayed in grey; (C) interpretative
254 sketch of the main morphological features of the study area; the area dominated by glacigenic
255 sediments is indicated by grey and the white area comprises mainly contouritic sediments.
256 Whereas the dark blue area is the upper part of a slide scar, parts of another, smaller scar are
257 indicated with blue area. The locations of gullies (see also Gales et al., in press) are shown by the
258 stippled lines and the crack is framed and shown in more detail in Figure 3; (D) slope-gradient
259 map. The hatched areas indicate the inferred location of shear zones, see text for further
260 discussion. The location of Figure 3 and 5 is indicated by the black line in (A).

261

262 **Figure 3:** Schematic sketch showing the stratigraphic setting of the study area, the location of
263 the crack and the landslide.

264

265 **Figure 4:** Detailed morphology (EM 700) (A) and EM2000 (B), and interpretation (inset) of the
266 crack. The crack is subdivided into the segments (1), (2), and (3). Secondary escarpments

26-jul-13 - 14

267 delineate blocks of sediments dipping into the crack (indicated by black arrows).The location of
268 figure B is indicated by the frame in figure A.

269

270 **Figure 5: a)** Part of sub-bottom profile across the crack showing the vertical displacement of the
271 uppermost part of the sub-sea floor succession. See Figure C for the location of the profile. B)

272 Part of a single-channel seismic profile oriented across the crack and the uppermost slide scar. C)

273 Outline of the sediment slab, the crack and the stratigraphic position of an underlying glide
274 plane. See Figure 1A for the location of the profile.

275









